Maximizing Backup and Restore Performance of Large Databases
Forward (from Meta Group)

Most companies’ critical data is being stored within relational databases. Over 90% of all mission critical systems, including financial, ERP and CRM systems store their data and maintain state within relational databases, i.e. DB2, Oracle, Sybase and SQL Server. The critical nature of these databases makes it necessary that IT organizations (ITOs) put in place high availability and backup and recovery processes and technologies to protect these systems and their data.

The dilemma that many companies are now facing is that the volume of data stored within critical database systems continues to grow exponentially while the allotted downtime for the backup and recovery process continues to shrink. Not only do the data volumes within existing business critical systems continue to grow, but also the number of databases that are now part of business and mission critical processes. Numerous factors, ranging from regulatory considerations to shifts in importance of analytical systems is contributing to this growth.

The overall Database Management System (DBMS) segment has evolved into one of higher business value, that used to only support On-line Transaction Processing (OLTP) systems, but now includes data warehousing and decision support. Most companies once viewed data warehouses and analytics as a “nice to have”, now view them as a “must have” and in many cases a critical component of their overall operational processes. The underlying nature of data warehouses is one that lends itself to larger data sets contained within the DBMS and data sets that continue to grow in size over time. This overall shift in the importance of analytics is forcing ITOs to backup and recover extremely large databases at data volumes that were traditionally unheard of in the past (in the numerous terabyte range).

At the same time that companies are embracing the importance of decision support systems, government regulations are forcing many organizations to maintain data within their operational systems for longer periods of time. The data must not only be kept around for longer periods of time, but must also be protected from mishaps and disasters.

As ITOs face the problems of managing and protecting a growing number of extremely large databases, customer expectations surrounding the availability levels of the applications continues to grow. With the externalization of numerous business processes, customers now expect 24 by 7 uptimes or close to it. The traditional window for planned downtime, for database maintenance, backup and recovery operations, etc. is no longer numerous hours, and in many cases has moved to times ranging from 10 min to an hour per evening. This customer uptime expectation coupled with the rapid growth in the number of databases and the data volumes they contain is forcing many ITOs to take only partial backups and less frequent full backups. This process, brought on by the slow nature of numerous traditional backup products, as well as the complexity of their management, leaves many ITOs critical data exposed and vulnerable.
The nature of the evolution of companies’ database infrastructures means that this problem will only continue to get worse. By 2007-08, if backup and recovery solutions do not evolve to address this problem up to 50% of all companies critical data could be vulnerable, leaving many organizations legally and economically exposed.

Bottom Line: Companies must push existing back up and recovery vendors for solutions that enable them to easily back up extremely large amounts of data in shorter time frames.
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Study Overview

Database systems are among the most important and challenging environments to manage. Maintaining data availability, while simultaneously performing backup processing is not a trivial challenge. Even more importantly, when data must be restored, it must be done quickly. As data volumes grow and maintenance windows shrink the ability to rapidly backup and restore a large database is coming even more essential.

IBM and VERITAS recently conducted a joint study measuring the backup and restore performance of a large IBM DB2 database. The test was executed using the latest IBM and VERITAS technologies including: an IBM eServer pSeries 690 server, IBM DB2 Universal Database v8.1, the new IBM TotalStorage Enterprise Tape Drive 3592, the IBM TotalStorage Enterprise Storage Server Model 800 and VERITAS NetBackup™ 5.0 and the VERITAS NetBackup for DB2 agent.

The goal of this exercise was to maximize the backup and restore performance of the environment as measured by the amount of DB2 data backed up and restored in period of time. Along the way, we learned a great deal about various tuning parameters available and some valuable lessons in maximizing large database backup and restore performance.

We found that it is possible to obtain high performance backup and restores of very large on-line databases! Using the new IBM 3592 tape drive technology, an pSeries server, ESS disk, DB2 UDB database software and VERITAS NetBackup, we were able to demonstrate a large database backup rate of over 2.5 TB/hour, and a restore rate, of the same database, of almost 1.7 TB/hour. This high level of performance was achieved by maximizing the degree of parallelism throughout the system infrastructure. This involved developing a database backup strategy and then utilizing the various hardware and software tuning parameters to optimize system throughput.
Description of the Technical Environment

The tests were executed on a dedicated configuration that included the following:

Two IBM ESS Model 800’s, each containing an 8x2GB Fibre channel connectors through a switch where the connections were concentrated down to 8x2GB Fibre Channel connectors in the p690. The tape drives were connected using 10x2GB Fibre channel adapters.

Overall Layout

![Diagram of the technical environment showing the connections between IBM ESS Model 800, IBM p690 server, 3592 Model C20 Rack, and SAN switches.]

Figure 1
Test Results

We measured the elapsed time associated with the backup of the large DB2 database. Just as importantly, we wanted to measure the elapsed time of restoring the same data.

The best results achieved with this configuration are shown in Table 1. The peak backup rate of 2.519 TB/Hr was achieved by backing up the database in about 57 ½ minutes for the 2.35 TB database. The associated restore of the same data set was completed at a rate of 2.13 TB/HR or 1 hour and 26 minutes.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Backup with 20 tape drives</th>
<th>Run Time (H:M:S)</th>
<th>Amount of Data Transferred (TB)</th>
<th>Resulting Data Rate (TB/HR)</th>
<th>Average Tape Drive Data Rate (KB/sec)</th>
<th>Peak Tape Drive Rate (KB/sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Run #</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Online Backup</td>
<td>00:57:26</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>40956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Full Restore</td>
<td>01:25:59</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>29045</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Observations:

Greater throughput was possible! We were limited by the hardware on hand. We were able to sustain 980MB/sec doing sequential reads against the storage, server CPU, memory, SAN and tape bandwidth. In another case, we observed a single stream could occasionally achieve a sustained backup rate of 70MB/sec to a single 3592 tape drive. This equates to a total capacity of 1.4GB/sec tape I/O.

Some lessons learned

When designing a DB2 data warehouse it is important to consider the architecture of your backup scenario. The performance of a database backup is determined by many variables: data volume, tape speed, SAN/network speed, storage I/O rates and the database configuration. The following is a list of the top 3 suggestions for configuring your DB2 database for maximum backup performance.

1. Use Multiple Dbpartitions/Tablespaces
2. Create a separate catalog partition
3. Buffers, buffers, buffers
Use Multiple DBpartitions/Tablespaces

When backing up a large database parallel operations are essential to performance. The number of tablespaces being backed up determines the parallelism required to perform a DB2 backup. DB2 backup, at most, supports one database agent per tablespace per dbpartition. VERITAS NetBackup can start one thread per DB2 backup agent. Therefore, to obtain the greatest degree of parallelism for a single table it should be spread across multiple dbpartitions. In this test the two largest tables were each 1.2TB in size and spread across 20 dbpartitions.

Create a separate catalog partition

When backing up a DB2 database configured with multiple dbpartitions, DB2 backup starts with the catalog dbpartition first before starting to back up the other partitions. If the data are large on the catalog dbpartition this operation could greatly lengthen your total backup window. Placing no data on this dbpartition, except catalogs, allows this stage of the backup to be completed quickly allowing the parallel backup to begin much sooner. In this test the catalog backup took about 17 seconds, which had little impact on the overall throughput.

Buffers, Buffers, Buffers

It is important to tune your buffer settings such that the backup device can keep streaming. The default of 1024 may work well for many situations but for this test we used 30 buffers with a buffer size of 4097 (4kb pages).
Configuring VERITAS NetBackup for Optimal Performance

VERITAS NetBackup and the VERITAS NetBackup for DB2 agent utilizes the DB2 backup API (including the “DB2 Backup” command). As long as the DB2 database is tuned as described in the paragraph above, only minimal tuning is required for NetBackup.

Care must be taken so that NetBackup has enough buffers to keep the data streaming. NetBackup processes use shared memory to move data between master and media server, when both the servers are on the same machine. During this test only 2 tunables were uses: NUMBER_DATA_BUFFERS, set to 128 and SIZE_DATA_BUFFERS, set to 262,144 (256kb). After experimenting with various combinations, we found these settings to be optimum for our configuration.

Summary

Developing and implementing a backup strategy for large databases requires careful planning and design. But the rewards are clear - it is possible to very rapidly backup and restore very large databases, even while they are in use. The combination of a well laid out plan, with powerful, state of the art systems hardware can provide historic levels of performance for these critical systems.
## Appendices

### Detailed Hardware and Software Environment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Configuration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>System</td>
<td>IBM Server p690&lt;br&gt;24 processors&lt;br&gt;60 GB memory&lt;br&gt;1.5 GHz processor Speed&lt;br&gt;1 Logical Partition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage</td>
<td>2x IBM TotalStorage Enterprise Storage Servers Model 2105/800&lt;br&gt;2x IBM TotalStorage SAN Switch 2109-F32, 32-port 2GBs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tape Devices</td>
<td>20x IBM TotalStorage Enterprise Tape Drive 3592 Model J1A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software</td>
<td>AIX 5.2.0.0, Maintenance Level 2&lt;br&gt;DB2 Release level and Version: DB2 V8.1 FP4a&lt;br&gt;VERITAS NetBackup 5.0 GA&lt;br&gt;VERITAS NetBackup for DB2 5.0 GA agent for DB2 Universal DataBase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Database Configuration</td>
<td>20 dbpartitions&lt;br&gt;2 Tablespaces&lt;br&gt;Database Size 2,464,748 MB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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